

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/076,631      | 02/19/2002         | Paul Habermann       | 02481.1775          | 2601             |  |
| 5487            | 7590 03/24/2005    |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| ROSS J. OF      | EHLER              | MONDESI, ROBERT B    |                     |                  |  |
| AVENTIS P       | HARMACEUTICALS INC |                      |                     |                  |  |
| ROUTE 202       | -206               | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
| MAIL CODI       | E: D303A           | 1653                 |                     |                  |  |
| DDIDGEWA        | TED NI 00007       |                      |                     |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application   | on No.  | Applicant(s)  |                     |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/076,63   |   | HABERMANN, PAUL   |                     |  |  |
|   |   | Examine   |   | Art Unit  |                     |  |  |
|   |   | Robert B.   | Mondesi   | 1653  |                     |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>or Reply   | ication appears on the  | cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence ad  | dress               |  |  |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evnunication. 0) days, a reply within the stat attutory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the app | ent, however, may a reply be tim<br>utory minimum of thirty (30) days<br>ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>lication to become ABANDONEI | nely filed<br>s will be considered timel<br>the mailing date of this co<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | y.<br>ommunication. |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |   |   |                     |  |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) file   | ed on   |   |   |                     |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □   | This action is FINAL.   | 2b)⊠ This action is r   | on-final.   |   |                     |  |  |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |   |   |                     |  |  |
| Dispositi   | ion of Claims   |   |   |   |                     |  |  |
| 5)  | <ul> <li>☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>☐ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>  |   |   |   |                     |  |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers  |   |   |   |                     |  |  |
| , —   | The specification is objected to by th  |   |   |   |                     |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |   |   |                     |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |   |   |                     |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |   |   |   |                     |  |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |   |                     |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |   |   |   |   |                     |  |  |
|   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 270.040   | 4) Interview Summary  |   |                     |  |  |
| 3) Infor  | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or<br>er No(s)/Mail Date  |   | Paper No(s)/Mail Do   |   | O-152)              |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, 6-12, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule which encodes a fusion protein, a multi-copy vector comprising the said nucleic acid molecule and a host cell comprising the multi copy vector, classified in class 536, subclass 23.4.
- II. Claims 4-5, drawn to a fusion protein encode by a nucleic acid molecule, classified in class 530, subclass 387.3.
- III. Claims 13-20, drawn to a process of fermentative production of a protein and the isolation of the said protein, classified in class 435, subclass 69.7.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The nucleic acids of Invention I are related to the protein of Invention II by virtue of encoding same. The DNA molecule has utility for the recombinant production of the protein in a host cell, as recited in the Claims of Invention I. Although the DNA molecule and protein are related since the DNA encodes the specifically claimed protein, they are distinct inventions because the protein product can be made by another and materially different process, such as by synthetic peptide synthesis or purification. Further, the DNA may be used for processes other than the production of the protein, such as nucleic acid hybridization assay.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1653

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different process such as the process of making peptides using peptide synthesizers.

The product of invention of Group I is not made by the process of the invention of Group III.

Furthermore, an election is required with regards to  $P_x$ ,  $S_x$ ,  $B_n$ ,  $Z_1Z_2$ , T, protein(Y) and protein(Y<sub>m</sub>). Applicant is required to define each one of the variables presented in the nucleic acid molecule of claim 1, in particular with regards to protein (Y), protein (Y<sub>m</sub>),  $P_x$ ,  $S_x$  and  $B_n$ , because alterations in each one of the mentioned variables can lead to a patentably distinct product. For example the applicant needs to define the nucleic acid sequence that is part of the nucleic acid molecule that encodes protein (Y<sub>m</sub>) since alternative nucleic acid sequences can give rise to completely different proteins that are each patentably distinct.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a

Application/Control Number: 10/076,631

Art Unit: 1653

matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,631 Page 5

Art Unit: 1653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Mondesi whose telephone number is 571-272-0956. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert B. Mondesi

ROBERT A. WAX
PRIMARY EXAMINER

At 4mt 1653